THE UNITED BEATER

115369

BEST DOCUMENT AVAILABLE

pear the chair cut

In response to a regrest dated May 3, 1972, from the Thorse Le monre Committee, we have evaluated comments made by A184 to quiter lessors Association, Inc., about Government computer acquirition practices. The Association expressed concern about two recent Government actions, the

- 98 Marine Corps purchase of two 1994 360/50 computers through the Department of Defense (DOD) automatic data processing (ADP) rental zatura orogiam without soliciting competitive bids and
- **Army purchase of two IBH 560; 10 computers. Only one was identified, a computer possible classectly from the International Business Haching Corporation (IBM) under a 5-year purchase plan and in ablied at the Army Security Agency.

Although it did not provide specific enformation, the Association believed that the Government could effect significant savings by acquiring computers under leasing arrangements with companies the Association represented.

To evaluate the Association's comments we interviewed DOD officials and reviewed pertinent DOD documents and files. also visited beveral computer-leasing firms to determine the types of trace they provide and the relative costs of leasing their systems.

MARIEL COST ACQUISITION

According to Marine Corps officials, the two IBM 360/50 computer, were purchased without soliciting competition for two reasons.

- The two computers, which had been leased by another Government agency, were released and became available under the DOD reutilization program at a convenient time for Marine Corps use.

BEST DOCUMENT AVAILABLE

- The purchase price for the used computers was considerably lover than the purchase price for two new IBM computers because of purchase credits accumulated under the other agency's leaving agreement and because of a further discount of \$544,000 offered by IBM.

The Marine Corps officials stated that they believed that under these circumstances the procurement action taken was prudent, even though competitive bids had not been obtained.

The Marine Corps plans to acquire five or six additional computers soon, to be used on the same of term as the two computers already acquired. At that time the Marine Corps intends to consider all possible alternative sources and methods of acquisition, including leasing computers from firms such as those represented by the Association.

We do not concur with the Marine Corps that it was prudent to purchase these computers without obtaining competitive bids. However, since the Marine Corps has indicated that it plans to obtain competition on the forthcoming purchases of additional computers, it appears that appropriate processement methods will be followed in the future.

ARMY ACQUISITION

We limited our inquiry regarding the Army's actions to the computer installed at the Army Security Agency and learned that:

- *-The computer was leased initially from IBM and installed in December 1970.
- **In Tebruary 1972 the Army Security Agency and IBM entered anto a 5-year lease-purchase agreement, under which leasing costs would be lower and the Government would have an option to purchase the equipment.
- --Late in fiscal year 1972, the Army Security Agency solicited competitive bids from firms such as those represented by the Association to determine whether

BEST DOCUMENT AVAILABLE

B-115369

there was a more economical method and/or source for acquiring the type of computer required. No bids were received on this competitive solicitation.

Army officials advised us that, because no firms responded to the Army Security Agency's solicitation, the Army planned to solicit bids for the Army Security Agency's requirements as part of a larger competitive computer procurement. These officials were optimistic that bids would be obtained in the new solicitation—planned for about September 1972—because the larger number of computers involved sould be more profitable to many leasing firms. Until action has been completed on the proposed solicitation, the Army Security Agency will continue to Jease the computer from IBM.

Because firms such as those represented by the Association did not take advantage of an opportunity to bid on this requirement—and will soon have another opportunity to do so-we cannot comment at this time on the savings that may be realized.

ACQUISITION POLICIES

On October 30, 1965, the Congress enacted Public Law 89-306, providing the General Services Administration exclusive authority for producing all general-purpose ADP equipment for use by Federal departments and agencies. This law, however, reserves to the individual agency the right to determine ADP requirements, develop specifications for computers, and select specific types and computer configurations to fulfill the data processing needs and to determine the use to be made on the computer systems. This law also states that ADF equipment ruitable for efficient and effective use by Federal agencies shall be provided through purchase, lease, transfer of equipment from other Federal agencies, or otherwise.

Federal departments or agencies have many alternatives available to them in obtaining ABP systems, such as:

-- Purchasing them from the equipment manufacturer.

B-115369

BEST DOCUMENT AVAILABLE

- · Renting them directly from the equipment manufacturor.
- -- Leasing them from a third-party vendor.
- -- Purchasing used equipment through the reutilization programs administered by the Federal Government.
- --Purchasing used equipment from third-party vendors
- program at no cost to the recondary agency.

ment Should Result in Significant Savings" (8-115369, Apr. 30, 1971). On page 38 of that report we recommended that the Director, Office of Management and Budget, and the Administrator of General Services "Consider all available supply sources in acquisition policies. The latest report issued dealing with computer-leasing firms was outilled "Multipear Leasing and practicable." purchasing equipment and use competition to the maximum extent Government-wide Purchasing of Automatic Paca Processing Equip-We have issued many reports repaired by Federal Government

third party sources can provide adequate service for lower costs." Their main objection was that leasing firms could not always have adequate supplies to meet Federal agency needs because leasing tirms, as a general rule, do not have inventhat the main advantage of acquiring systems from leasing firms was cost savings. They said that "Experience has shown that ices provided by these firms. Generally, officials advised us tories of computer systems available to meet immediate computers from computer-leasing firms, and these officials advised During our present inquiry, we contacted officials of the Navy and the Department of Labor experienced in acquiring comprovided by these firms. Generally, officials

360s, and officials advised us that their lease rates ranged leasing their systems. All firms we visited leased IBM System We contacted several computer-leasing firms to determine types of service they provide and the relative cost of from about 75 percent to 90 percent of LBH's lease rates on 1-year contracts for similar equipment; multiyear contract rates are as low as 50 percent of LBM rates. Maintenance for the systems is provided by LBM or other service firms which have maintenance standards in accordance with LBM standards. We learned that leasing firms test their equipment before it is delivered to their customers to insure that it is in satisfactory condition.

The Assistant Secretary of Defense (Comptroller) issued a memorandum on June 13, 1972, concerning acquisition of ADP equipment from third-party leasing firm, stating that all DOD activities should consider computer leaving firms when acquiring equipment. This memoratives:

"It is recognized that the nature of the third party leasing market is such that the acceptability of equipment fluctuates by time and expe. Therefore, to take advantage of potential average through this means requires both advance planning and a responsive decision making process.

"Recently, the DOD has been subjected to criticism for not allowing third party lessors to compete during the purchase of reused equipment or when converting on hand leased equipment to purchase. Your staffs should actively pursue the merits of third party sources for existing and future acquisition proposals."

We believe that the memorandum was needed and should help to premote consideration of all available sources.

We hope these observations will be useful to you and to members of the Joint Economic Committee in considering the

Computer Lessors Association's expression of concern about Government computer acquisition practices. Please advise us if we can be of further assistance.

Sincerely yours,

Action Comptroller General
of the Delted States

The Honorable William Proxmire
Chairman, Joint Economic Committee 77°
Congress of the United States